Pupil Premium Strategy Statement []'

1. Summary information								
School	Alderman Richard Hallam Primary School							
Academic Year	19-20	Total PP budget	£199,000	Date of most recent PP Review	July 2019			
Total number of pupils	889	Number of pupils eligible for PP	158	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 2020			

2. Cı	urrent attainment					
		Pupils eligible for	Pupils not eligible for	Pupils eligible for PP		
		PP (your school)	PP (national average)	(national average)		
	KS2 (SATS)					
% achi	eving expected standard in Reading	64%	78%	62%		
% achi	eving expected standard in Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling	78%	83%	67%		
% achi	eving expected standard in Mathematics	72%	84%	67%		
% achi	eving expected standard in Writing	75%	83%	68%		
	KS1 (SATS)					
% achi	eving expected standard in Reading	44%	79%	62%		
% achi	eving expected standard in Mathematics	44%	79%	55%		
% achi	eving expected standard in Writing	44%	73%	63%		
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)					
A.	A. Parents who are entitled to PP funding are not applying for it therefore the funding for the school varies and is imbalanced.					
В.	Most PP children fall within other vulnerable groups; this needs to be taken in to consideration when analysing their attainment and progress.					
C.	Attainment in Reading in upper Key Stage 2 for children eligible for Pupil Premium is lower than non-PP pupils.					
D.	Attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in K	S1, is not in line with n	on-PP children.			

External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)

Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are currently slightly above 96%, however this will still need monitoring. Being absent from school reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.

4.	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	For all parents who are entitled to PP funding to apply for it. This will be measured by comparing the amount of PP pupils over the past two years and analyse the changes. A key focus area will be Nursery and Foundation parents.	To raise awareness by sending out information posters / emails about how to apply for PP funding. To raise awareness by informing staff of the criteria for PP funding so they can communicate this to parents. To work closely with Nursery and Foundation staff in particularly when undertaking home visits/ induction meetings.
В.	To ensure all PP pupils who fall in to other vulnerable areas to have more than one intervention. For example, Tom (WBB, PP, SEND) to have extra support. Tom to have intervention by PP tutor plus year group to organise further intervention.	To allocate a PP intervention tutor to support accelerated progress for pupils in PP and other vulnerable groups.
C.	Accelerated progress across upper KS2 in Reading for pupils eligible for PP.	Pupils eligible for PP in Years 5 and 6 make accelerated progress (at least 7 steps on Target Tracker) in Reading. This will be supported by teacher guided groups, teacher assessments, moderation within our Development Group and across the phase.
D.	Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics. for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non-PP children.	Pupils eligible for PP in Years 1 and 2 make rapid progress by the end of the year so that the number of pupils meeting age related expectations (ARE) is in line with non-PP children.

E.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Overall PP attendance is consistently 96-97% in line with
		whole school attendance objectives.

5. Planned expenditure							
Academic year	2019-20						
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies i. Quality of teaching for all							
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review? implementation?		

C. Accelerated progress	Intervention groups with	Evidence from last year's progress rates with these tutors is	Use of disaggregated hours to	AK – Year Group	Half termly, ready for end
across upper KS2 in	PP tutors ML and HPB to	good.	provide longer staff meetings	Leader and PP	of year.
Reading for pupils eligible	be continued from last		on feedback.	SB – Deputy Head	
for PP	year. This will ensure	Teaching assistants and intervention staff that have worked well	Book audits in phases used to	CL – Assistant	
	pupils moving to different	in the school and supported children in making accelerated	ensure all staff have access to	Head (Teaching	
	year groups are settled in.	progress to be used in class and for targeted interventions.	best practise.	and Learning)	
			Learning walks to observe	EM- Assistant	
	Staff within KS2 to	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-	interventions and ensure they	Head	
	conduct regular pupil	summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback/	have a clear focus based on	(Assessment)	
	conferencing.	F F F F F A A A A A B	feedback.		
		££££	Observations of intervention		
	Teaching assistants to	Many different evidence sources, such as the EEF toolkit above,	staff (such as PP tutor) to be		
	deliver interventions with	suggest high quiality feedback is an effective way to improve	done on a termly basis to		
	groups of pupils.	attainment.	ensure effective teaching and		
			feedback is taking place.		
	Ensuring effective		Time out of class provided for		
	members of staff in year	£ £ £ £ £	observations of staff confident		
	groups to provide		in the use of verbal feedback.		
	effective feedback and	According to EEF toolkit:	Conference pupils on how		
	interventions based on	'Metacognition and self-regulation approaches have consistently	much time is being provided for		
	next steps.	high levels of impact, with pupils making an average of seven	them to be able to reflect on		

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you implemented		Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
ii. Targeted suppor	t					
D. Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non-PP children.	with a strong emphasis on teaching for mastery used effectively in all year groups. Staff training on the consistent use of the marking policy including verbal feedback. Staff training on metacognition and self-regulation. To encourage staff to provide time for	effective when taught in collaborative groups so can support each other and make their thinking discussion.' The EEF toolkit suggests that teaching for maste moderate impact for low cost. We want to ensue eligible for PP do not fall behind the attainment peers. We have delivered a range of CPD in hou on QFT and have seen an impact of progress lev With an influx of new staff, we want to ensure ton QFT is still prominent and that an increased on mastery of the curriculum for our PP children Academic Coaching will be used to support this preparation for the SATs.	ery has a ure children levels of their se for teachers rels as a result. that the emphasis emphasis is put n.	they feel about the impact it is having on their learning. Feedback to all staff and SLT. Academic Coaching to be delivered by highly effective teachers to Year 6 on key focus areas as preparation for the SATs. Cover used for all staff to be able to informally observe experts within the school and in other schools if required (where no expertise is already present in house).	AK – Year Group Leader and PP SB – Deputy Head CL – Assistant Head (Teaching and Learning) EM- Assistant Head (Assessment) budgeted cost	Half termly, ready for end of year.

B. To ensure all PP pupils who fall in to other vulnerable areas to have more than one intervention. For example, Tom (WBB, PP, SEND) to have extra support, Tom to have intervention by PP tutor plus year group to organise further intervention. C. Accelerated progress across upper KS2 in Reading for pupils eligible for PP D.Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non-PP children. E.Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Use high-quality collaborative learning companies and training (Forest Schools Training and Leicester City Primary Stars) to ensure that pupils are able to work together to contribute to a common outcome.	Following the success of Forest Schools training and LCFC Primary Stars, we will continue to invest in these this academic year. Two members of staff have obtained skills to deliver Forest Schools in an adapted ARH way to small groups with pupils eligible for PP. This should lead to better cooperation, teamwork and empathy which will help to reduce incidences of behaviour. Funding will be used for equipment and cover for these members of staff. Work with LCFC Primary Stars will help each student to improve their personal learning, thinking, team and reading skills. Above all, it will boost their self-confidence and raise their own expectation of what they can achieve in reading.	The companies have been selected based on previous work with them that has proven to be effective. Leicester City Primary Stars will be invited to run 10 reading sessions, in the Autumn and Summer terms, with KS2 pupils. At the end of the year, both pupils and family members will be invited for a free tour of the Leicester City Stadium. Required equipment for Forest Schools and cover required for the teacher's class to be paid for out of PP budget.	AK – Year Group Leader and PP RB – Primary link for LCFC HFG – English co- ordinator	Half termly, ready for end of year.
D.Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non- PP children.	Expansion of peer tutoring scheme - gifted and talented pupils in Years 4, 5 and 6 in peer tutoring to be delivered to PP pupils in Years 1, 2 and 3.	Some of the pupils need targetted support to raise their attainment levels. Evidence suggests that peer tutoring is effective for a low cost. We have seen from our Reading Buddies scheme that this helps both the pupil with their attainment and the tutor with their mastery of the curriculum. This year it will be rolled out to all year groups originally planned for.	Organise timetable to ensure pupils have a quality session without missing any of the curriculum. Training for peer tutors to be sourced using evidence of effectiveness. Peer tutoring sessions to be overseen by a teaching assistant and reviewed by G&T lead. Funding for G&T lead to organise.	G&T Lead	Half termly, ready for end of year.

B.To ensure all PP pupils who fall in to other vulnerable areas to have more than one intervention. For example, Tom (WBB, PP, SEND) to have extra support, Tom to have intervention by PP tutor plus year group to organise further intervention. C.Accelerated progress across upper KS2 in Reading for pupils eligible for PP	Small group tuition to support PP pupils in making accelerated progress.	Continue to focus on the use of small group tuition to target groups of pupils in Years 3, 4, 5 and 6 who have not yet diminished the difference with their non-PP peers. We feel targeted support is necessary for this. The PP pupils in our current Year 5 & 6 cohort make up a majority our PP pupils in the school and we feel this should be a target.	TA to be employed as a Pupil Premium champion, working with small groups across KS2. An outstanding teacher to be employed to work with small groups and to do one-to-one pupil conferencing in KS1. Observations of both staff to ensure quality of provision to be conducted regularly.	AK	On a half termly basis.
F.Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Attendance interventions for priority PP pupils, where attendance is less than good.	We have found targeted interventions with regards to attendance to be successful in the past for all pupils. We want to focus this on those PP pupils whose attendance slips below 'good'.	Half-termly meetings between PP lead and Attendance and Admissions Manager to analyse data regarding attendance and identify priority pupils. Home visits, support for parents and reward systems to be used to increase their attendance levels.	AK – Year Group Leader & PP KH – Attendance and Admissions Manager	On a half-termly basis.
	Ensure equality of access for all pupils to our enriched curriculum.	Continuing from last year, pupils eligible for PP will have access to our school's breakfast club and priority for many extra-curricular clubs. All clubs within school are expected to prioritise these pupils and one (most expensive) trip per year will be funded from the PP budget. We want to ensure that all pupils have an equality of access to the curriculum to foster	One trip (most expensive) in each year will be fully funded for Pupil Premium pupils, as will breakfast club to support pupils' social and emotional wellbeing. Any staff leading clubs will be expected to keep a register of pupils attending and identifying the proportion of PP pupils attending. They will give first priority for limited capacity clubs to PP pupils.	Karen Davies (bursar) Paula Smith (Breakfast Club coordinator) All teachers running clubs	On a half termly basis

	Total budgeted cost	£31,000
iii. Other approaches		

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
For all parents who are entitled to PP funding to apply for it.	To send out information to parents via email to raise further awareness of PP. Support parents in filling out the relevant forms for pupils in Early Years and Foundation.	EEEF toolkit suggests that parental involvement has mixed levels of effectiveness but our own work with parents has led to greater support at home for the pupils in homework.	Do a 'before' and 'after' analysis of how many PP pupils we have in school (according to ratio of school) and check if an increase has occurred after sending out the information. Contact parents to check they understand the process of applying for PP funding. Ensure at every opportunity, PP awareness is raised when parents are invited in to school – e.g. Parents evening, Christmas Art week, Reading Fayre etc.	AK SLT & all teaching staff	October 2019 and then on a half termly basis.

opportunities possible.	•	Well Done postage for Well Done postcards that are sent home		Total	budgeted cost	£20,000
Inclusion – to ensure all PP pupils have the support required to take part in an enriched curriculum and to have as many equal	•	Breakfast Club Breakfast Club TA Swimming Uniforms, bags etc. Trips	All of these actions have had a huge positive impact in the past several years at the school. It is clear that these actions should be continued.	Work closely with PS (Breakfast club manager) RB – LCFC to host special events for PP pupils AK to work closely with KD (School Budget Manager) to ensure payments for PP pupils are accurate)	AK & PS & RB AK & KD	October 2019 and then on a half termly basis.

6. Review of expenditure							
Previous Academic Year		Total PP budget		£214,100			
		Number of pupils eligible for PP		146			
i. Quality of teach	i. Quality of teaching for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons (and wh	learned ether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		

				1
C. Accelerated progress	Intervention groups	Evidence from last year's progress rates with these tutors is	Due to the positive impact the PP Champions had on the pupils, HPB	£138,934
across upper KS2 in	with PP tutors Michelle	good.	and ML will continue to deliver interventions in reading, writing and	
Reading, Writing and	and Hema to be		Maths for the whole school.	
Mathematics for pupils	continued from last	Teaching assistants and intervention staff that have worked		
eligible for PP.	year.	well in the school and supported children in making	The PP budget will determine on whether we are able to employ	
	This will ensure pupils	accelerated progress to be used in class and for targeted	additional PP Champions as this had a positive impact on both	
	moving to different	interventions.	attainment and progress.	
	year groups are settled			
	in.	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-		
		summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback/		
		£££££ @@@@@ +8		
D. Improved attainment in	Staff within KS2 to	Many different evidence sources, such as the EEF toolkit		
Reading, Writing and	conduct regular pupil	above, suggest high quiality feedback is an effective way to		
Mathematics. for pupils	conferencing.	improve attainment.		
eligible for PP in KS1, in line		improve accuminent.		
with non-PP children.	Teaching assistants to			
	deliver interventions	€ € € € € a a a a a		
	with groups of pupils.			
		According to EEF toolkit:		
	Ensuring effective	'Metacognition and self-regulation approaches have		
	members of staff in	consistently high levels of impact, with pupils making an		
	year groups to provide	average of seven months' additional progress. These		
	effective feedback and	strategies are usually more effective when taught in		
	interventions based on	collaborative groups so that learners can support each other and make their thinking explicit through discussion.'		
	next steps.	and make their thinking explicit through discussion.		
		In addition to HPB and ML, we employed 3 casual Pupil		
		Premium Champions to support pupils in Year 3 and 4 in		
		reading, writing and Mathematics.		
		HPB – Year 2 and 5		
	1	1	1	

	GS – Year 3	
	HD – Year 4 and 5	
	PA – Year 4	
	ML – Year 6	
	Each year group leader provided PP Champions with a daily	
	intervention timetable to focus on key areas in learning.	
	Clear communication between class teachers and PP	
	Champions took place to discuss children's progress and	
	gaps in knowledge. This helped to feed into data and set	
	appropriate targets throughout the academic year. 1	
l l		

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
B.To ensure all PP pupils who fall in to other vulnerable areas to have more than one intervention. For example, from (WBB, PP, SEND) to have extra support, Tom to have intervention by PP sutor Hema/Michelle plus vear group to organise further intervention. C.Accelerated progress across upper KS2 in Writing for pupils eligible for PP D.Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non-PP children. E.Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Use high-quality collaborative learning companies and training (Happy Puzzle Company and Forest Schools training) to ensure that pupils are able to work together to contribute to a common outcome.	Following the success of Happy Puzzle Company and Forest Schools training, we will continue to invest in these this academic year. Two members of staff have obtained skills to deliver Forest Schools in an adapted ARH way to small groups with pupils eligible for PP. This should lead to better cooperation, teamwork and empathy which will help to reduce incidences of behaviour. Funding will be used for equipment and cover for these members of staff. Work with the Happy Puzzle Company will help each student to improve their personal learning and thinking skills, maths, logical reasoning and team skills. Above all, it will boost their self-confidence and raise their own expectation of what they can achieve. Class teachers organised for Teaching Assistants to deliver additional interventions for PP pupils who also fall under other vulnerable groups. This had an excellent impact on the children's enjoyment of school and had a positive impact on their attendance rates. Attendance rates have increased from 96.1% to 96.2% which is in line with the whole school attendance.	Due to the positive impact of Happy Puzzle Company, we will continue to use this resource for PP pupils. We will continue to utilise the trained members of staff from Forest Schools and provide time for them to train other members of staff at ARH. ARH will continue to work collaboratively with LCFC through their Primary Stars initiative (focusing on reading) with upper KS2 PP pupils. Next academic year, we will continue with this approach with an emphasis on follow-up learning to help to increase accelerated progress levels in pupils eligible for Pupil premium. KH will continue to monitor the attendance rates for PP pupils and maintain the reward system. Free spaces in Breakfast Club for PP pupils will continue as an incentive to raise attendance at ARH.	£51,090

D.Improved attainment in Reading, Writing and Mathematics for pupils eligible for PP in KS1, in line with non-PP children.	Expansion of peer tutoring scheme trialled last year – using a group of gifted and talented pupils in Years 4, 5 and 6 in peer tutoring to be delivered to PP pupils in Years 1, 2 and 3.	Some of the pupils need targetted support to raise their attainment levels. Evidence suggests that peer tutoring is effective for a low cost. We have seen from our Reading Buddies scheme that this helps both the tutee with their attainment and the tutor with their mastery of the curriculum. Last year, due to time restarints, the trial used only Year 6 and Year 2 – this year it will be rolled out to all year groups orinigally planned for. The peer tutoring scheme helped raise PP pupils attainement as well support in building positive relationsips between different peer groups. The scheme supported KS2 pupils in developing their coaching and mentoring skills and in turn consolidate their own knowledge and learning. From analysing data, the attainment gap in Maths. (KS1 pupils) between PP and Non-PP pupils has narrowed.	As it was a success, the peer tutoring scheme will be continued and monitored by the G&T Lead.	

B.To ensure all PP pupils who fall in to other vulnerable areas to have more than one intervention. For example, Tom (WBB, PP, SEND) to have extra support, Tom to have intervention by PP tutor Hema/Michelle plus year group to organise further intervention. C.Accelerated progress across upper KS2 in Writing for pupils eligible for PP	Small group tuition to support PP pupils in making accelerated progress.	EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	The PP budget will determine on whether we are able to employ additional PP Champions as this had a positive impact on the social and emotional well-being of PP pupils.	
F.Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Attendance interventions for priority PP pupils, where attendance is less than good. Ensure equality of access for all pupils to our enriched curriculum.	We have found targeted interventions with regards to attendance to be successful in the past for all pupils. We want to focus this on those PP pupils whose attendance slips below 'good'. Continuing from last year, pupils eligible for PP will have access to our school's breakfast club and priority for many extra-curricular clubs. All clubs within school are expected to prioritise these pupils and one (most expensive) trip per year will be funded from the PP budget. We want to ensure that all pupils have an equality of access to the curriculum to foster a love of school and learning. Attendance rates have increased from 96.1% to 96.2% which is in line with the whole school attendance. Breakfast Club has helped to increase attendance rates for PP a pupil which in turn has had a positive impact on their learning.	Free spaces in Breakfast Club for PP pupils will continue as an incentive to raise attendance at ARH. Club registers will be continued to be monitored to ensure that PP pupils are given first priority to a range of extra-curricular activities.	

iii. Other approaches		From analysing club registers, there has been an increase of PP pupils attending a range of clubs therefore accessing an enriched curriculum.		
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
For all parents who are entitled to PP funding to apply for it.	Parent workshops To invite parents in to school to raise awareness of the criteria for PP To provide a crèche service so parents are able to interact with staff	The EEF toolkit suggests that parental involvement has mixed levels of effectiveness but our own work with parents has led to greater support at home for the pupils in homework. Information on PP was communicated to parents via the school webiste, email and post. Parents of pupils, who were potentially eligle for PP, were telephoned to support with filling in the LCC application form. Each year group leader was provided with PP information to cascade to parents.	All forms of communication with parents will be continued to raise awareness of PP.	£23,160

Inclusion – to ensure all PP	Breakfast Club	All of these actions have had a huge positive impact in the	These initiatives as will continue as incentives for all PP pupils next year.	
pupils have the support	Breakfast Club TA	past several years at the school. It is clear that these actions		
required to take part in an	 Swimming 	should be continued.		
enriched curriculum and to	 Uniforms 			
have as many equal	Well Done postage for	PP pupils having access to all these additional clubs and		
opportunities possible.	Well Done postcards	activities has had a positive impact on their attendance,		
	that are sent home	learning and well-being.		